

Agnieszka A. Dixon

Admitted in ME

207.253.0532 adixon@dwmlaw.com

84 Marginal Way, Suite 600 Portland, Maine 04101-2480 207.772.1941 Main 207.772.3627 Fax

January 6, 2022

DELIVERY BY E-MAIL

Maine Land Use Planning Commission c/o Karen E. Bolstridge, Environmental Specialist III Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation & Forestry 106 Hogan Road, Suite 8 Bangor, ME 04401

Rising Tide Towers, LLC; DP 5050-B Telecommunications Facility Proposal Re: -Request to Reopen Administrative Record

Dear Karen:

As you know, on December 2, 2021, the FAA issued a no-hazard determination letter for the 190-foot alternative tower option presented by Rising Tide Towers, LLC ("Rising Tide"), which requires the tower to be constructed with FAA hazard lighting. Rising Tide requested that the Commission table its deliberations and decision on DP 5050-B. Thereafter, we met with LUPC staff to discuss a process and timeline that would allow the FAA determination and any related analysis and documents to be entered into the administrative record.

In follow-up to that discussion, we respectfully request that the Chair of the Commission reopen the record in the matter of DP 5050-B, pursuant to Section 5.10(C) of the Commission's Chapter 5, Rules for the Conduct of Public Hearings, 1 in order to allow Rising Tide to make a supplemental filing no later than January 31, 2022 containing the FAA determination and related analysis, as well as an amended lease agreement, and to establish a time period for accepting additional public comment and rebuttal comment on Rising Tide's supplemental filing.

Sincerely,

Isl Aga Dixon Agnieszka A. Dixon

Stacie R. Beyer (via e-mail) cc: Ben Godsoe (via e-mail)

¹ Chapter 5 was amended on November 1, 2021, to *inter alia* allow a reopening of the record by the Presiding Officer, rather than by a vote of the Commission. Because this rule amendment is a procedural rule that does not affect Rising Tide's vested or substantive rights, it may be applied to LUPC's review of DP 5050-B even though the application was deemed complete for processing before the effective date of this rule amendment. See generally 16B Am. Jur. 2d Constitutional Law §§ 744, 745.